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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Planning and monitoring** | **Progress score**  Poor | | | Excellent | | |
| A meaningful title for the project is being selected.  Comment: ClaimMyBag – database TSA provides w/ claim bag lost numbers, help find bags for user |  |  |  | |  |  |
| Project Objectives and Scope are refined. Roles and responsibilities are assigned, including mandatory roles.  Comments: Scope – US, claim num./claim type/what’s in it/airport. Roles – set with responsibilities. |  |  |  | |  |  |
| A revised Project plan is provided.  Comment: |  |  |  | |  |  |
| The revised objective and role document is submitted.  Comment: |  |  |  | |  |  |
| A software development method is selected and followed. How many iterations are considered? In which iteration the project is currently in?  Comment: |  |  |  | |  |  |
| Project Log, as described in Section 6.3, has been developed and frequently submitted. The log captures all milestones and important events with supporting documents for some events.  Comment: Need to read Section 6.3, Make sure you have a supporting document and the T.A’s checklist. Make sure you are keeping a running log with all you progress. | X |  |  | |  |  |
| **Software Requirements** |  |  |  | |  |  |
| The application domain is well understood and described. (Section 6.4.1)  Comment: Dataset is not real-time, because it is historical. Try to come up with aspects of your project that take advantage of the historical aspect. Try to make it a little more interesting, how are you going to use graphing etc. Which airline to trust, with routes have more lost luggage. |  | X |  | |  |  |
| Functional requirements are identified. (Section 6.4.2)  Comment: No discussed Graphing algorithms yet. Make sure you include a graphing algorithm, you are a bit behind. | X |  |  | |  |  |
| Non-Functional requirements are identified (Section 6.4.3)  Comment: Focus on the algorithmic challenges, not the UI. |  |  |  | |  |  |
| SRS document is being submitted to Avenue.  Comment: |  |  |  | |  |  |
| **Preparation for Design and Implementation** |  |  |  | |  |  |
| The first iteration of conceptual design (UML diagrams) has been completed. (Sec. 6.5)  Comment: |  |  |  | |  |  |
| Software platforms for implementation is being decided and work has already been started to get acquaintance with the tools and implementation environment.  Comment: |  | X |  | |  |  |
| A working low/medium fidelity prototype is being provided. It could be individual components of the final prototype.  Comment: Think beyond a simple search |  | X |  | |  |  |
| The approach for internal review/evaluation of the implementation is being decided. How much progress is being made?  Comment: |  |  |  | |  |  |
| **Other Comments:** | | | | | | |
| **Progress Excellent = 5 Very Good = 4 Satisfactory = 3 Basic = 2 Poor = 1 Not covered = 0** | | | | | | |
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